(REVIEW ARTICLE)

Check for updates

Advanced strategies for managing industrial and community relations in high-impact environments

Bernadette Bristol-Alagbariya [1, *], Latifat Omolara Ayanponle [2] and Damilola Emmanuel Ogedengbe [3]

[1] Independent Researcher, Bonny Island, Nigeria.
[2] Independent Researcher, Houston, TX, USA.
[3] Independent Researcher, Nigeria.

Abstract

High-impact environments, characterized by their complex social, economic, and environmental dynamics, present significant challenges and opportunities for managing industrial and community relations. This paper explores advanced strategies to foster sustainable and mutually beneficial relationships in these contexts. It emphasizes proactive stakeholder engagement, robust conflict resolution mechanisms, collaborative decision-making models, and the importance of cultural and environmental sensitivity. Additionally, it highlights the role of measurable performance indicators, continuous feedback, and adaptability in ensuring long-term success. By aligning industrial operations with community needs and sustainability goals, stakeholders can mitigate conflicts, enhance trust, and contribute to equitable development. The recommendations provided aim to guide industries, communities, governments, and NGOs in fostering partnerships that balance growth with social and environmental responsibility.

Keywords: Industrial-community relations; High-impact environments; Stakeholder engagement; Conflict resolution; Sustainability

1 Introduction

High-impact environments are areas where industrial activities intersect significantly with communities, often leading to substantial economic, social, and environmental implications. These environments can range from regions rich in natural resources—such as oilfields, mining zones, or industrial hubs—to urban areas undergoing rapid development (Luckeneder, Giljum, Schaffartzik, Maus, & Tost, 2021). The impact in such settings can be profound, influencing livelihoods, ecosystems, and broader societal dynamics. High-impact environments are vital because of their contribution to global and local economies and their potential to shape the well-being of communities and ecosystems for generations (Robbins, Hintz, & Moore, 2022).

In these environments, the stakes are particularly high. Industries rely on community acceptance and collaboration to ensure operational efficiency and long-term viability. Communities, in turn, seek equitable benefits, environmental protection, and respect for their social and cultural identity. Mismanagement of these relationships can lead to significant challenges, including community resistance, environmental degradation, and economic setbacks, making effective management critical.

The management of industrial and community relations in high-impact environments is fundamental to achieving sustainable development. These relationships often determine whether industrial activities proceed smoothly or face resistance and operational disruptions. Positive relations foster trust, promote collaboration, and mitigate conflicts,

enabling industries to achieve their objectives while respecting community rights and expectations (Berrone, Rousseau, Ricart, Brito, & Giuliodori, 2023).

One of the primary challenges in high-impact environments is balancing industrial growth with the rights and well-being of local populations. Communities may feel marginalized or exploited, particularly when resource extraction or industrial development leads to environmental damage or social displacement. On the other hand, industries often face hurdles such as regulatory constraints, delays, or reputational risks stemming from community opposition. Effective management ensures mutual understanding, fostering a shared commitment to sustainable and inclusive progress (Baba, Mohammad, & Young, 2021).

Globalization and heightened environmental consciousness have amplified the need for more sophisticated approaches to industrial-community engagement. In the past, communities were often considered secondary stakeholders. Today, their role has evolved to become a cornerstone of industrial strategy, influencing policy decisions, corporate social responsibility initiatives, and investment outcomes. Addressing this growing complexity requires advanced strategies that prioritize inclusivity, transparency, and long-term partnership building (Malin, Ryder, & Lyra, 2019).

This paper aims to explore advanced strategies for managing industrial and community relations in high-impact environments, offering insights into how industries and communities can coexist harmoniously. The focus is on identifying and discussing practical approaches that industries can adopt to address challenges effectively while aligning with community aspirations. By highlighting proven strategies and frameworks, this paper seeks to bridge the gap between theory and practice in managing these complex interactions.

The significance of this study lies in its potential to guide policymakers, corporate leaders, and community advocates in fostering sustainable and equitable outcomes. As industrialization accelerates and environmental concerns intensify, the need for robust management frameworks becomes increasingly urgent. By emphasizing mutual benefits and fostering shared accountability, the paper seeks to contribute to a body of knowledge that can inform strategic decision-making in high-impact environments.

2   High-Impact Environments

2.1   Characteristics of High-Impact Environments

High-impact environments are defined by their complexity and the significant effects that industrial activities have on surrounding ecosystems, economies, and social structures. These settings often serve as focal points for industries such as energy production, mining, manufacturing, or large-scale agriculture, where industrial operations intersect with dense human populations or fragile ecosystems (Borgwardt et al., 2019).

One defining characteristic of high-impact environments is their environmental sensitivity. Industrial activities often exploit natural resources, which can lead to degradation of landscapes, loss of biodiversity, and pollution of air, water, and soil. The environmental footprint of these activities is magnified in regions with fragile ecosystems, such as rainforests, arid zones, or coastal areas.

Social complexity is another hallmark. Communities in high-impact environments may have strong cultural ties to the land and a deep reliance on natural resources for their livelihoods. These areas often feature diverse populations with varying needs, values, and aspirations. The social fabric is further complicated by disparities in power dynamics, where communities may feel marginalized or inadequately represented in decisions affecting their lives (Abbass et al., 2022).

Economic intricacies are equally prominent. High-impact environments are often hubs of economic opportunity, attracting investments, creating jobs, and stimulating local economies. However, the benefits are not always equitably distributed. While industries and governments often reap significant financial gains, local communities may struggle with economic displacement, loss of traditional livelihoods, or inadequate compensation. Balancing the need for economic growth with equitable development is a persistent challenge in these regions (Theodos, Hangen, González, & Meixell, 2020).

2.2   Key Stakeholders and Their Interests

Managing high-impact environments requires a clear understanding of the primary stakeholders involved and their often competing interests. Industries are typically the most visible stakeholders, driven by goals such as profit maximization, resource efficiency, and operational sustainability. They seek to extract resources or establish operations

with minimal resistance and maximum efficiency. Industries often prioritize regulatory compliance, public relations, and the long-term viability of their projects.

Industrial activities directly affect communities and represent diverse interests, including cultural preservation, environmental protection, and economic well-being. They may include indigenous groups, farmers, urban residents, or fisherfolk, each with distinct priorities. Communities often demand fair compensation, meaningful consultation, and long-term benefits like improved infrastructure, education, and healthcare (Brulhart, Gherra, & Quelin, 2019).

Governments play a dual role as regulators and beneficiaries. They aim to promote economic development while ensuring compliance with laws and policies that protect citizens and the environment. Governments may also act as mediators in disputes, balancing the need for industrial growth with the rights and well-being of local populations. However, conflicts of interest can arise, particularly when government agencies have financial stakes in industrial ventures (Wirba, 2024).

Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs) and advocacy groups also play crucial roles, often serving as watchdogs to ensure industries and governments adhere to ethical and environmental standards. They provide a voice for marginalized communities and hold other stakeholders accountable for their actions. International bodies and investors add another layer of complexity. Global financial institutions and organizations advocating sustainable practices influence the behavior of industries through funding conditions or global standards (Lewis, Kanji, & Themudo, 2020).

2.3 Challenges and Conflicts Commonly Encountered

High-impact environments are often hotspots for challenges and conflicts, stemming from stakeholders' overlapping and sometimes competing interests. One prevalent issue is resource-related disputes. Industrial activities often require access to land, water, or minerals, leading to tensions with local communities dependent on these resources for survival. For example, water-intensive industries in arid regions can create severe shortages for nearby populations (Wassie, 2020).

Environmental degradation is another major concern. Industrial operations can lead to deforestation, air and water pollution, and soil erosion. These environmental changes threaten biodiversity and disrupt the ecological balance, causing long-term harm to communities and ecosystems. Communities often resist industries that they perceive as prioritizing profits over environmental stewardship (Kolawole & Iyiola, 2023).

Social and economic inequality fuels further discord. Local communities often bear the brunt of industrial activities—such as displacement or health impacts—without reaping significant economic benefits. The inequitable distribution of profits and resources can lead to resentment, protests, or even legal action.

Lack of meaningful consultation is a persistent challenge. Communities often feel excluded from decision-making processes, leading to a breakdown of trust. This exclusion is particularly problematic when projects involve indigenous lands or areas with deep cultural significance. Without proper engagement, industries risk alienating stakeholders and facing resistance (Ogidi & Akpan, 2022).

Regulatory and governance issues exacerbate conflicts. Weak or inconsistent enforcement of laws can lead to environmental violations, labor exploitation, or inadequate compensation for affected communities. Corruption or lack of transparency in government dealings further erodes trust and exacerbates tensions. Finally, cultural insensitivity can undermine industrial-community relations. Failing to understand or respect local customs, traditions, and social norms can lead to misunderstandings or outright opposition. Industries that impose external values or disregard local priorities risk being perceived as exploitative or colonial in their approach (Kumar, Kumar, & Saikia, 2022).

3 Strategies for Effective Industrial and Community Relations

3.1 Proactive Stakeholder Engagement

One of the cornerstones of effective industrial and community relations is proactive stakeholder engagement. This approach involves industries initiating open and honest communication with communities and other stakeholders early in the project lifecycle, before critical decisions or conflicts arise. Transparency is a fundamental element in building trust. Communities are more likely to support industrial initiatives when they feel adequately informed about the potential impacts—both positive and negative—on their environment, economy, and social structure. Companies should provide clear and accessible information regarding their objectives, timelines, and expected outcomes. This

transparency minimizes misunderstandings and dispels unfounded rumors that can quickly escalate into opposition (Lansing et al., 2023).

Early communication also allows industries to identify and address concerns before they become significant obstacles. By actively seeking input from community members and demonstrating a willingness to incorporate their perspectives, industries signal respect for the community's agency. Mechanisms such as town hall meetings, focus groups, and stakeholder surveys are effective tools for fostering dialogue and building goodwill.

Another critical aspect of engagement is ongoing feedback loops. Establishing channels for continuous communication ensures that communities remain informed and involved throughout the project. This approach builds trust and enhances the credibility and social license of industrial operations (Goethel et al., 2019).

3.2   Conflict Resolution Mechanisms

Conflicts are inevitable in high-impact environments but can be managed effectively with well-structured resolution mechanisms. These mechanisms should be designed to address disputes amicably, ensuring fairness for all parties involved. Mediation and negotiation are two widely used tools in conflict resolution. Mediation involves a neutral third party facilitating dialogue between stakeholders, helping them reach a mutually acceptable solution. This approach is particularly effective in defusing tensions and preserving relationships. Conversely, negotiation empowers stakeholders to articulate their interests and find common ground through direct discussions. Both methods emphasize collaboration over confrontation, reducing the likelihood of prolonged disputes (Golann & Folberg, 2021).

Industries should also establish formal grievance mechanisms, allowing communities to voice concerns and seek redress for perceived injustices. These mechanisms should be accessible, transparent, and responsive to community needs. When grievances are addressed promptly and fairly, industries demonstrate their commitment to accountability and fairness.

Legal frameworks can also play a role, especially when conflicts escalate. However, litigation should be considered a last resort, as it often prolongs disputes and damages relationships. Instead, industries and communities should prioritize alternative dispute resolution methods that promote constructive engagement (Grama, 2022).

3.3   Collaborative Decision-Making Models

Collaborative decision-making is a powerful strategy for fostering inclusive industrial-community relations. This approach emphasizes shared responsibility, encouraging stakeholders to work together in planning and executing projects.

Participatory models involve communities in critical decision-making processes, ensuring that their voices are heard and valued. For instance, industries can establish advisory committees comprising community representatives, industry leaders, and independent experts. These committees provide a platform for discussing project plans, assessing potential impacts, and co-developing solutions (Dwivedi et al., 2024).

Collaborative planning is particularly effective in addressing land use, resource allocation, and infrastructure development. Industries can better align their operations with local priorities by involving communities in these discussions. This approach minimizes resistance and fosters a sense of ownership among stakeholders, increasing the likelihood of long-term success (Leng et al., 2022).

Shared benefits are another essential component of collaborative models. Profit-sharing agreements, community development funds, and employment opportunities are examples of how industries can ensure local populations benefit directly Such initiatives demonstrate a commitment to equity and mutual prosperity, reinforcing positive relationships.

3.4   Cultural and Environmental Sensitivity

Cultural and environmental sensitivity is essential for fostering harmonious industrial and community relations. This strategy involves adapting industrial practices to align with local traditions, values, and environmental priorities (de Oliveira, Ghobakhloo, & Figueira, 2023). Cultural sensitivity begins with understanding the social fabric of the community. Industries should invest time in learning about local customs, traditions, and belief systems. Engaging cultural experts or partnering with local leaders can provide valuable insights into community dynamics, enabling industries to tailor their approaches accordingly. For example, industries must respect sacred sites and traditional

practices in areas with strong indigenous presence, incorporating these considerations into their operational plans (Sasaki, Nummela, & Ravasi, 2021).

Environmental sensitivity is equally critical, particularly in high-impact environments where ecosystems are fragile. Industries must prioritize sustainable practices, minimizing their ecological footprint through waste reduction, pollution control, and habitat restoration. Conducting comprehensive environmental impact assessments ensures that potential risks are identified and mitigated.

Sustainability goals should also include long-term planning for post-industrial scenarios. Communities often bear environmental degradation long after industries have exited the area. By investing in environmental rehabilitation and sustainable development projects, industries can leave a positive legacy, enhancing their reputation and fostering goodwill (Singh, Khandelwal, Sarkar, Dangayach, & Meena, 2023).

4   Measuring and Sustaining Success

4.1   Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) for Industrial-Community Relations

The success of industrial-community relations in high-impact environments must be measured to ensure that strategies are effective and sustainable. Key performance indicators (KPIs) are vital in assessing progress and identifying areas for improvement. These metrics serve as benchmarks for evaluating the health of relationships, the fairness of processes, and the overall impact of industrial activities on communities.

One crucial KPI is stakeholder satisfaction, which gauges the extent to which the needs and expectations of both industries and communities are being met. Surveys, focus groups, and interviews with community members and other stakeholders can provide qualitative and quantitative insights into their level of contentment with the industrial presence. Positive feedback often reflects trust, transparency, and the perceived fairness of interactions (Aithal & Aithal, 2023).

Another important metric is community impact analysis, which examines how industrial activities influence local economies, social structures, and environmental conditions. This includes measuring tangible benefits such as employment rates, infrastructure improvements, and access to education and healthcare. At the same time, it assesses negative impacts, such as environmental degradation, social displacement, or public health concerns.

Conflict resolution efficiency is also a key indicator. The number of grievances reported, the time taken to address them, and the satisfaction levels of complainants can all reveal the effectiveness of conflict resolution mechanisms. A decline in unresolved disputes or prolonged grievances suggests that the relationship management framework is functioning effectively (Furlong, 2020).

The level of community participation in decision-making processes is another valuable KPI. High participation rates often indicate that stakeholders feel empowered and valued. This can be measured through meeting attendance, the frequency of community consultations, and the diversity of voices contributing to discussions (Abdul Rahim, Ahmed, Sarkawi, Jaaffar, & Shamsuddin, 2019).

Finally, sustainability metrics are essential for long-term success. These include environmental indicators such as reductions in pollution or restoration of natural habitats, as well as economic indicators like the establishment of self-sustaining local businesses or long-term community development projects. Sustainability KPIs ensure that the benefits of industrial activities extend beyond the immediate operational period (Rane, Achari, & Choudhary, 2023).

4.2   The Role of Ongoing Feedback and Adaptability in Strategies

While KPIs provide a snapshot of performance, the dynamic nature of high-impact environments necessitates ongoing feedback and adaptability to sustain success. Stakeholder relationships and environmental conditions are not static, and strategies must evolve to meet changing needs and challenges.

Ongoing feedback mechanisms are essential for maintaining alignment between industries and communities. Regular surveys, community forums, and digital platforms for real-time feedback provide channels for stakeholders to express their concerns and suggestions. These mechanisms ensure that industries remain attuned to the priorities of the communities they impact. For example, a community facing unexpected environmental effects from industrial

operations can use feedback channels to raise awareness, prompting industries to take corrective action swiftly (Zamiri & Esmaeili, 2024).

Feedback must not only be collected but also acted upon to demonstrate accountability. Actionable responses to stakeholder input build trust and reinforce the perception that industries are committed to continuous improvement. This process involves analyzing feedback trends, prioritizing issues, and implementing changes to address the concerns raised. Transparency in communicating these actions further enhances credibility.

Adaptability is the second pillar of sustained success. In high-impact environments, unforeseen events such as natural disasters, policy changes, or shifts in community dynamics can disrupt established strategies. Industries must be prepared to adjust their approaches in response to new realities. For example, an industry facing stricter environmental regulations may need to invest in cleaner technologies or revise its operational plans to comply with the new standards.

The concept of adaptive management is particularly relevant in this context. Adaptive management is a structured learning and decision-making process emphasizing flexibility and responsiveness. By continually monitoring outcomes and adjusting actions based on evidence, industries can remain resilient in the face of uncertainty. For instance, if an initial community engagement strategy fails to garner participation, adaptive management might involve experimenting with alternative methods, such as virtual consultations or smaller, more targeted focus groups.

Collaboration with external experts and stakeholders further enhances adaptability. Partnerships with NGOs, academic institutions, and government agencies can provide valuable insights and resources for refining strategies. These collaborations help industries anticipate emerging trends and align their initiatives with broader societal goals, such as sustainable development or climate action (Arslan, Golgeci, Khan, Al-Tabbaa, & Hurmelinna-Laukkanen, 2021).

Long-term planning is also crucial for sustaining success. Industries must look beyond immediate operational goals and consider the enduring legacy of their activities. This includes planning for post-project transitions, such as environmental restoration, infrastructure maintenance, and the creation of economic opportunities that persist after industrial operations have ceased. Communities that see tangible long-term benefits are more likely to maintain positive relationships with industries (Carboni et al., 2024).

5   Conclusion

The management of industrial and community relations in high-impact environments is both a necessity and a challenge. These settings, characterized by complex social, economic, and environmental dynamics, demand thoughtful strategies to balance industrial development with community well-being. The paper has outlined critical strategies that industries can adopt to navigate these complexities effectively.

Proactive stakeholder engagement is essential for building trust and ensuring transparency. Early communication and continuous dialogue enable industries to address concerns before they escalate, fostering a foundation of mutual understanding. Conflict resolution mechanisms, such as mediation and grievance redress systems, provide tools for resolving disputes amicably, minimizing disruptions to operations and relationships. Collaborative decision-making models emphasize inclusivity, empowering communities to participate in decisions that affect their lives and ensuring shared benefits from industrial activities. Finally, cultural and environmental sensitivity is critical for adapting industrial practices to local contexts, safeguarding community values and ecological health.

Measuring and sustaining success requires clear performance metrics and a commitment to ongoing feedback and adaptability. Key performance indicators, such as stakeholder satisfaction, community impact, and sustainability metrics, provide a framework for assessing progress. Feedback mechanisms and adaptive strategies ensure that industries remain responsive to evolving challenges and opportunities, fostering long-term stability and prosperity.

Recommendations

Achieving sustainable and equitable outcomes in high-impact environments requires collaborative and actionable efforts from all stakeholders. As key drivers of development, industries must take proactive measures to build trust and foster inclusivity. Transparency and open communication from the outset are crucial for aligning objectives and managing expectations. Establishing robust grievance mechanisms ensures that community concerns are addressed promptly, fostering goodwill and minimizing conflict. Furthermore, industries must integrate local knowledge and perspectives into decision-making processes, empowering communities and enhancing the legitimacy of their

operations. Committing to sustainable practices, such as environmental restoration and long-term development planning, is essential for leaving a positive legacy that benefits future generations.

On the other hand, communities must actively engage in these processes to ensure their needs and priorities are reflected in industrial initiatives. By voicing concerns and providing constructive feedback, they contribute to a collaborative environment where solutions can be co-developed to address local challenges effectively. Partnerships with NGOs and advocacy groups can amplify community perspectives, providing additional resources and ensuring accountability. Such engagement empowers communities and strengthens their role as equal partners in development. Industries and communities can create a foundation for sustainable growth that balances economic progress with social and environmental responsibility.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

[1]   Abbass, K., Qasim, M. Z., Song, H., Murshed, M., Mahmood, H., & Younis, I. (2022). A review of the global climate change impacts, adaptation, and sustainable mitigation measures. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 29*(28), 42539-42559.

[2]   Abdul Rahim, N. F., Ahmed, E. R., Sarkawi, M. N., Jaaffar, A. R., & Shamsuddin, J. (2019). Operational risk management and customer complaints: the role of product complexity as a moderator. *Benchmarking: An International Journal, 26*(8), 2486-2513.

[3]   Aithal, P., & Aithal, S. (2023). Key performance indicators (KPI) for researchers at different levels & strategies to achieve it. *International Journal of Management, Technology, and Social Sciences (IJMTS), 8*(3), 294-325.

[4]   Arslan, A., Golgeci, I., Khan, Z., Al-Tabbaa, O., & Hurmelinna-Laukkanen, P. (2021). Adaptive learning in cross-sector collaboration during global emergency: conceptual insights in the context of COVID-19 pandemic. *Multinational Business Review, 29*(1), 21-42.

[5]   Baba, S., Mohammad, S., & Young, C. (2021). Managing project sustainability in the extractive industries: Towards a reciprocity framework for community engagement. *International Journal of Project Management, 39*(8), 887-901.

[6]   Berrone, P., Rousseau, H. E., Ricart, J. E., Brito, E., & Giuliodori, A. (2023). How can research contribute to the implementation of sustainable development goals? An interpretive review of SDG literature in management. *International Journal of Management Reviews, 25*(2), 318-339.

[7]   Borgwardt, F., Robinson, L., Trauner, D., Teixeira, H., Nogueira, A. J., Lillebø, A. I., . . . McDonald, H. (2019). Exploring variability in environmental impact risk from human activities across aquatic ecosystems. *Science of the Total Environment, 652*, 1396-1408.

[8]   Brulhart, F., Gherra, S., & Quelin, B. V. (2019). Do stakeholder orientation and environmental proactivity impact firm profitability? *Journal of Business Ethics, 158*, 25-46.

[9]   Carboni, J., Duncan, W. R., Gonzalez, M., Pace, M., Smyth, D., & Young, M. (2024). *Sustainable Project Management: The GPM Practice Guide*: GPM Global.

[10]   de Oliveira, R. T., Ghobakhloo, M., & Figueira, S. (2023). Industry 4.0 towards social and environmental sustainability in multinationals: Enabling circular economy, organizational social practices, and corporate purpose. In (pp. 139712): Elsevier.

[11]   Dwivedi, Y. K., Jeyaraj, A., Hughes, L., Davies, G. H., Ahuja, M., Albashrawi, M. A., . . . Altinay, L. (2024). "Real impact": Challenges and opportunities in bridging the gap between research and practice–Making a difference in industry, policy, and society. In (pp. 102750): Elsevier.

[12]   Furlong, G. T. (2020). *The conflict resolution toolbox: Models and maps for analyzing, diagnosing, and resolving conflict*: John Wiley & Sons.

[13] Goethel, D. R., Lucey, S. M., Berger, A. M., Gaichas, S. K., Karp, M. A., Lynch, P. D., . . . Wilberg, M. J. (2019). Closing the feedback loop: on stakeholder participation in management strategy evaluation. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 76*(10), 1895-1913.

[14] Golann, D., & Folberg, J. (2021). *Mediation: The roles of advocate and neutral*: Aspen Publishing.

[15] Grama, B. (2022). Company-Administered Grievance Processes For External Stakeholders: A Means For Effective Remedy, Community Relations, or Private Power? *Wis. Int'l LJ, 39*, 71.

[16] Kolawole, A. S., & Iyiola, A. O. (2023). Environmental pollution: threats, impact on biodiversity, and protection strategies. In *Sustainable utilization and conservation of Africa's biological resources and environment* (pp. 377-409): Springer.

[17] Kumar, R., Kumar, A., & Saikia, P. (2022). Deforestation and forests degradation impacts on the environment. In *Environmental Degradation: Challenges and Strategies for Mitigation* (pp. 19-46): Springer.

[18] Lansing, A. E., Romero, N. J., Siantz, E., Silva, V., Center, K., Casteel, D., & Gilmer, T. (2023). Building trust: Leadership reflections on community empowerment and engagement in a large urban initiative. *BMC Public Health, 23*(1), 1252.

[19] Leng, J., Sha, W., Wang, B., Zheng, P., Zhuang, C., Liu, Q., . . . Wang, L. (2022). Industry 5.0: Prospect and retrospect. *Journal of Manufacturing systems, 65*, 279-295.

[20] Lewis, D., Kanji, N., & Themudo, N. S. (2020). *Non-governmental organizations and development*: Routledge.

[21] Luckeneder, S., Giljum, S., Schaffartzik, A., Maus, V., & Tost, M. (2021). Surge in global metal mining threatens vulnerable ecosystems. *Global Environmental Change, 69*, 102303.

[22] Malin, S. A., Ryder, S., & Lyra, M. G. (2019). Environmental justice and natural resource extraction: Intersections of power, equity and access. In (Vol. 5, pp. 109-116): Taylor & Francis.

[23] Ogidi, O. I., & Akpan, U. M. (2022). Aquatic biodiversity loss: impacts of pollution and anthropogenic activities and strategies for conservation. In *Biodiversity in Africa: potentials, threats and conservation* (pp. 421-448): Springer.

[24] Rane, N. L., Achari, A., & Choudhary, S. P. (2023). Enhancing customer loyalty through quality of service: Effective strategies to improve customer satisfaction, experience, relationship, and engagement. *International Research Journal of Modernization in Engineering Technology and Science, 5*(5), 427-452.

[25] Robbins, P., Hintz, J. G., & Moore, S. A. (2022). *Environment and society: a critical introduction*: John Wiley & Sons.

[26] Sasaki, I., Nummela, N., & Ravasi, D. (2021). Managing cultural specificity and cultural embeddedness when internationalizing: Cultural strategies of Japanese craft firms. *Journal of International Business Studies, 52*, 245-281.

[27] Singh, A. B., Khandelwal, C., Sarkar, P., Dangayach, G. S., & Meena, M. L. (2023). Theoretical and methodological contribution of sustainable practices to the hospitality industry.

[28] Theodos, B., Hangen, E., González, J., & Meixell, B. (2020). An early assessment of opportunity zones for equitable development projects. *Washington, DC: Urban Institute, 500*.

[29] Wassie, S. B. (2020). Natural resource degradation tendencies in Ethiopia: a review. *Environmental systems research, 9*(1), 1-29.

[30] Wirba, A. V. (2024). Corporate social responsibility (CSR): The role of government in promoting CSR. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy, 15*(2), 7428-7454.

[31] Zamiri, M., & Esmaeili, A. (2024). Methods and technologies for supporting knowledge sharing within learning communities: A systematic literature review. *Administrative Sciences, 14*(1), 17.