

Influence of pot size on early seedling growth of *Grevillea robusta* and *Cupressus lusitanica* in Kenya

Shadrack Kinyua Inoti* and Doris Cherop

Department of Natural Resources, Faculty of Environment and Resources Development, Egerton University, Kenya. P.O Box 536-20115, Egerton, Kenya.

International Journal of Science and Technology Research Archive, 2023, 04(01), 096–102

Publication history: Received on 22 November 2022; revised on 07 January 2023; accepted on 09 January 2023

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.53771/ijstra.2023.4.1.0011>

Abstract

Pot size is vital for raising of nursery seedlings. Different sizes of pots are needed for various tree species. An experiment was set up to investigate the best pot size for *Cupressus lusitanica* and *Grevillea robusta* species. The experiment was laid down as a RCBD with 8 treatments replicated 3 times. Treatments comprised of 4 different pot sizes as follows: large, medium, small and smallest as well as two species. Forest soil mixture was used for potting and 10 pots were used per each treatment per replicate while 7 plants were randomly selected for sampling. The experiment was carried out from September 2017 for a period of 8 months. The variables measured included; shoot, foliage and roots. ANOVA was done using Genstat package while the means were separated at $P < 0.001$ using LSD. The results showed that large pots had significantly ($P < 0.001$) higher values in height, shoot fresh biomass, total fresh plant biomass and seedling stem volume compared with the other pot sizes. Large pots also showed significantly higher root collar diameter (6.23 mm) compared with the medium pot (4.33 mm). For species, Cypress showed significantly superior height (27.84 cm) and number of leaves (24.52) compared with Grevillea (18.73 and 18.27 cm respectively). In conclusion, shoot fresh biomass and total fresh plant biomass were positively correlated with pot size. This study recommends the use of large pots for raising Grevillea and Cypress seedlings in the nursery since they take 8 months to attain planting size.

Keywords: Pot size; Cypress; Grevillea; Nursery seedlings

1 Introduction

The success of tree seedling in the field requires that the seedling has high vigour, which is determined by the species genetic potential, site characteristics and the soil [1]. These factors must be optimal to produce a healthy and vigorous seedling [2]. In nursery, if no limitations are imposed on seedling development and management, the most important factors for health and high quality seedling are good soil and suitable container [1]. Pot size is one of the factors that affect the successful development of seedlings [3]. Pots size determines the amount of water, soil nutrients and available space for root development. Several authors have reported that a balanced soil mixture and the suitable pot size need to be determined for every species at each nursery prior to any field planting program to ensure good root and shoot development for the planting program and the pot sizes selected depends on the nursery lifespan and the desired size of the seedlings [4, 5].

Container grown seedlings has become more favored over bare root method in many reforestation projects [6, 8, 9]. This promotes; higher field survival, little soil needed for seedlings, out-planting is possible during any time of the year and especially when the soil moisture is enough [8, 10]. With the increase in use of container planting method by many nurseries, containers are produced in various sizes but many nurseries prefer using smaller sizes to reduce production cost, ease handling and to maximize the limited space, which affects the quality and health of the seedling in the long

* Corresponding author: Shadrack Kinyua Inoti; Email: sinoti@egerton.ac.ke

run thus affecting its survivability in the field. Less information is currently available on the ideal pot size to raise quality seedling of *Cupressus lusitanica* and *Grevillea robusta* species in the nurseries.

Cupressus lusitanica (Mexican cypress) is an evergreen tree, fast growing tree to 35m in height, with a dense conical crown. Branches spread out widely but terminate in pendulous branchlets. Bark on trunk is reddish brown exfoliating in long narrow strips. It grows at high altitude (1100 to 1300m) above sea level [11]. Used for Timber, post, poles ornamental (aesthetic), shade, windbreak live fence and firewood [11]. *Grevillea robusta* on the other hand, is a fast growing tree and can attain a height of 20 m and diameter of up to 25 cm in 15 to 20 years on suitable sites. *Grevillea* is mainly used for timber, poles/posts and fuelwood. Other uses include bee forage, mulch, soil conservation, wind break, shade and ornamental [12].

Existence of a logging ban in Kenyan forests [13], greatly decreased the supply of timber and other forest products in the market. This pushed citizens and industries with farms to want to establishing plantations to cater for their demand of forest products. The farmers preferred species are the exotics including; *Cupressus lusitanica* and *Grevillea robusta* [14] which are fast growing and they mature fast depending on the rotational period set by the owner. To achieve this quality seedling is required, which are able to survive in the field and can attain its maximum productivity. Therefore, there was need to undertake this study in order to enhance their success in nursery propagation and establishment in the field.

2 Material and methods

2.1 Study site

The study was conducted at Agroforestry tree nursery, Egerton University, Njoro, Kenya, within the east Mau water catchment, which is part of the largest water tower in east Africa [15] The University is located in Njoro, a small community approximately 25 kilometres southwest of the town of Nakuru. The area is drained by river Njoro which emerges from the Mau forest and flows to lake Nakuru, which is one of the largest bird sanctuaries in the world with 400 species [16]. The site is located approximately 182 kilometres, by road, northwest of Nairobi. The study site lies on a latitude 0°22'11.0"S, longitude 35°55'58.0"E and an altitude of 2238 m above sea level. The area falls in agro ecological zone Lower Highland 3. The experimental site receives mean annual rainfall of 1200 mm. The distribution of rain is bimodal with long rains between April and August and short rains between October and December yearly. The temperatures in the field lie between 10.2 and 22.0 °C [17]. Soils at the study site are mollic andisols [18] with acidic and moderate fertility [19].

2.2 Experimental design

The experiment was laid down as a Randomized complete block design (RCBD) with 8 treatments replicated 3 times. Treatments comprised use of four different pot sizes with their corresponding diameter and lengths in centimeters as follows: large (9x20), medium (8x19), small (7x13) and smallest (6x10) as well as two species namely: *Cupressus lusitanica* and *Grevillea robusta* [20].

The volume of the pots was calculated using the following formula; $V = (\pi d^2 h) \div 4$, where d= diameter of the pot, while h= height of the pot. Large pot size was 1272 cm³ in volume and was 1.3, 2.5 and 4.5 times bigger compared with medium, small and smallest pot sizes respectively. Forest soil mixture was used for potting and 10 pots were used per each treatment per replicate while seven plants were randomly selected for sampling. The experiment was carried out from September 2017 to March 2018 for a period of 8 months.

The variables measured included; height, root collar diameter, 3rd internode length, number of leaves, leaf length, root length, shoot, root and total biomass as well as seedling stem volume; $SSV = (\pi x d^2 h) \div 6$; where diameter and height are in centimeters.

2.3 Data analysis

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of the measured variables was done using Genstat statistical package (2013) while the means were separated at $P < 0.001$ using Least significance difference (LSD).

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Effect of varying pot sizes on shoot growth and leaf number of *Cupressus lusitanica* and *Grevillea robusta* nursery seedlings

Large pots showed significantly ($P < 0.001$) higher values in height, shoot fresh biomass, total fresh plant biomass and seedling stem volume compared with the other pot sizes (Table 1). However, 3rd internode length was similar for large (9.58 mm) and medium (8.67 mm) pots but these were significantly higher compared with small (6.32 mm) and smallest (5.18 mm) pots.

There was an increase in seedling growth with increase in pot size. This was attributed to availability of more space for plant development and large amount of soil in the pots which supplied more nutrients and water. These findings are in agreement with that by [1] who stated that, the seedlings raised in large pots had significantly greater height and root collar diameter, than those raised in medium and small container. Studies by [3, 21], agrees with this finding, where they reported that there was a positive effect of increasing planting pot size on seedling growth.

Table 1 Effect of pot size on shoot growth and number of leaves of *Grevillea robusta* and *Cupressus lusitanica* seedlings in the nursery

| Pot size | Pot diameter x length (cm) | Pot Volume (cm ³) | Height (cm) | Internode length (mm) | Shoot fresh biomass (g) | Total fresh plant biomass (g) | Seedling stem volume (cm ³) | Leaf number |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------------|
| Large | 9x20 | 1272 | 30.12 ^a | 9.58 ^a | 11.47 ^a | 17.02 ^a | 5.98 ^a | 24.00 ^a |
| Medium | 8x19 | 955 | 24.22 ^b | 8.67 ^a | 9.50 ^b | 14.33 ^b | 2.58 ^b | 21.87 ^b |
| Small | 7x13 | 500 | 20.23 ^c | 6.32 ^b | 6.35 ^c | 10.17 ^c | 1.68 ^{bc} | 20.02 ^c |
| Smallest | 6x10 | 283 | 18.57 ^c | 5.18 ^b | 4.77 ^d | 7.38 ^d | 1.13 ^c | 19.72 ^c |
| Species | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Cupressus lusitanica</i> | | | 27.84 ^a | 8.88 ^a | 6.58 ^b | 10.16 ^b | 2.52 ^a | 24.52 ^a |
| <i>Grevillea robusta</i> | | | 18.73 ^b | 5.99 ^b | 9.47 ^a | 14.29 ^a | 3.18 ^a | 18.27 ^c |
| P value | | | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| CV% | | | 11.5 | 14.8 | 13.8 | 13.6 | 33.9 | 5.0 |
| LSD | | | 3.32 | 1.36 | 1.37 | 2.06 | 1.19 | 1.86 |

Means with different superscript letters within a column differ significantly using LSD at $P < 0.001$.

Similarly, medium pots showed significantly superior growth in height, shoot fresh biomass and total fresh biomass compared with small and smallest pots. These findings are similar to that by [22], who reported that larger pots had higher growth in shoot compared to the small pots. The smallest pots also showed significantly the lowest growth in shoot fresh biomass and total fresh biomass compared with all the other pot sizes. This was attributed to reduced soil volume in the pots, causing reduced water and nutrients uptake by plants and obstruction of roots development. Similar results were reported by [23] who stated that, small pots caused root restriction lowering water and nutrients uptake resulting to reduced plant biomass, canopy growth and inhibited root growth leading to poor growth, which is in agreement with the findings of these study.

Large pots showed significantly higher number of leaves compared with the other pot sizes. Similarly, medium pots showed significantly superior number of leaves compared with the small and smallest pots. Generally, shoot fresh biomass and total fresh plant biomass were positively correlated with pot size. This finding is in agreement with that by [22].

For species, *Cupressus lusitanica* showed significantly ($P < 0.001$) superior height (27.84 cm), 3rd internode length (8.88 mm) and number of leaves (24.52) compared with *Grevillea robusta* (18.73 cm, 5.99 mm and 18.27 respectively). On the other hand, *Grevillea robusta* showed significantly higher shoot fresh biomass and total fresh biomass compared

with *Cupressus lusitanica*. The higher biomass of *Grevillea robusta* can be attributed to the broad foliage compared with the scale leaves of *Cupressus lusitanica* (Fig. 1).

Recent studies by [24], confirms that *Cupressus lusitanica* performed better than *Grevillea robusta* in different soil mixtures although this was not done in these study. Earlier work by [25] stated that the effect of pot sizes on seedling growth depend on the type of species to be grown which corroborates with the findings of this study, in that the two species showed different growth characteristics. The findings by [26], showed that species of plants responds differently to container size with regards to biomass distribution. Which explains the difference observed in the two species used in the study.



Figure 1 Seedlings of *Grevillea* and *Cupress* raised in different pot sizes

From Left: G+ L, G+ M, G+S, G+SS, C+L, C+M, C+S & C+SS. Where: G= *Grevillea*; C= *Cupress*; L=Large pot; M= Medium pot; S= Small pot & SS= Smallest pot

3.2 Effect of pot size on root growth of *Grevillea robusta* and *Cupressus lusitanica* seedlings in the nursery

Large and medium pots showed similar values in all the root variables measured except root collar diameter where the former (6.23 mm) was significantly ($P<0.001$) higher compared with the latter (4.33 mm) (Table 2).

Table 2 Effect of pot size on root growth on *Grevillea robusta* and *Cupressus lusitanica* seedlings in the nursery

| Pot size | Pot diameter x length (cm) | Pot Volume (cm ³) | Root collar diameter (mm) | Root length (cm) | Root biomass (g) | Root: shoot ratio |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Large | 9x20 | 1272 | 6.23 ^a | 31.20 ^a | 5.55 ^a | 0.625 ^a |
| Medium | 8x19 | 955 | 4.55 ^b | 31.05 ^a | 4.83 ^a | 0.540 ^{ab} |
| Small | 7x13 | 500 | 3.52 ^c | 24.25 ^b | 3.82 ^b | 0.525 ^{ab} |
| Smallest | 6x10 | 283 | 3.42 ^c | 22.80 ^b | 2.62 ^c | 0.483 ^b |
| Species | | | | | | |
| <i>Cupressus lusitanica</i> | | | 3.61 ^b | 32.28 ^a | 3.58 ^b | 0.567 ^a |
| <i>Grevillea robusta</i> | | | 5.25 ^a | 22.38 ^b | 4.83 ^a | 0.520 ^a |
| P value | | | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| CV% | | | 9.4 | 9.5 | 16.7 | 18.5 |
| LSD | | | 0.51 | 3.132 | 0.87 | 0.124 |

Means with different superscript letters within a column differ significantly using Least significance difference (LSD) at $P<0.001$.

Consequently, large and medium pots showed significantly superior root collar diameter, root length and root fresh biomass compared with the small and smallest pot sizes. This finding is in agreement with by studies by [1] who stated

that large pots led to high growth in shoot and roots. Other earlier studies by [26] showed that larger pots had higher root: shoot ratio in *cajanus cajan* and *Sesbania sesban*. The current findings are similar to the work by [28] who confirms that large planting pots produce seedlings with a longer taproot during the nursery period, which favours growth of deep root system in the field.

On the other hand, small and smallest pots were similar in all the root variables measured except root fresh biomass where the former (3.82 g) was significantly higher compared with the latter (2.62 g). This could have been as a result of increased volume in the former having adequate space for root development. In addition, root to shoot ratio was significantly higher for large ones (0.63) compared with the small pots (0.48). This could have been attributed to growth inhibition caused by the small size of the container limiting the plant's water and nutrients uptake for the plant development. This finding is supported earlier studies by [29] who stated that small pots reduce dry matter of roots, stems, leaves and fruits. Studies by [30] and [23] on ideal pots for jobo reported that there was increase in stem diameter of seedlings planted on larger pot. This is in agreement with the findings of this study which revealed that seedlings raised in large pots produced largest seedlings

For species, *Grevillea robusta* showed significantly ($P < 0.001$) higher root collar diameter and root fresh biomass compared with *Cupressus lusitanica*. Similarly, *Cupressus lusitanica* showed significantly higher root length (32.28 cm compared with *Grevillea* (22.28 cm). Results also showed that *Grevillea robusta* had a higher growth in root biomass compared with *Cupressus lusitanica* and this could have led to superior shoot and root collar diameter in the former. This finding is supported by [26], who reported that species of plants responds differently to container size with regards to biomass distribution.

4 Conclusion

Generally, shoot fresh biomass and total fresh plant biomass were positively correlated with pot size. This shows the larger the seedling pots the better the growth in biomass and vice versa. The result shows that the small pots supported a limited shoot growth compared with root growth which is a favorable adaptation for dry lands.

Recommendations

This study recommends the use of large pots for raising *Grevillea* and *Cypress* seedlings in the nursery since they take 8 months to attain plantable size of 30 cm in height and 4 mm in root collar diameter. This stage also showed superior growth in most of the variables measured hence better survival in the field after out planting.

Compliance with ethical standards

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the Department of Natural Resources, Egerton University for provision of the site where the experiment was conducted and other resources used. Also, gratitude goes to the workers in the university tree nursery who assisted in management of the experiment as well as data collection.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

The authors confirm that there is no conflict of interest as pertains to the current paper.

References

- [1] Abera B, Derero A, Waktole S, Yilma G. Effect of pot size and growing media on seedling vigour of four indigenous tree species under semi-arid climatic conditions. *Forests, Trees and Livelihoods*. 2 Jan 2018; 27(1): 61–7.
- [2] Jaenicke H. Potting substrates: What do seedlings need to grow well? *Agroforestry Today*, 1999; 11: 31-33
- [3] Dominguez-Lerena S, Sierra NH, Manzano IC, Bueno LO, Rubira JP, and Mexal JG.. Container characteristics influence *Pinus pinea* seedling development in the nursery and field. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 2006; 221(1-3), 63-71.
- [4] Doran JC, Seed, nursery practice and management. In: J.C. Doran and J.W. Turnbull (eds.), *Australian trees and shrubs: Land rehabilitation and farm tree planting in the tropics*. Australian Center for International Agricultural Research, 1997; Australia.

- [5] Tinus, RW and McDonald SE, How to grow tree seedlings in containers in greenhouses, USDA Forest Service General Technical Report 1979; RM-60, 256pp
- [6] Khurram S, Burney OT, Morrissey RC and Jacobs DF. Bottles to trees: Plastic beverage bottles as an alternative nursery growing container for reforestation in developing countries, Min XJ, editor. PloS ONE. May 2017; 12(5): e0177904.
- [7] Evans J. Plantation forestry in the tropics: Tree planting for industrial, social, environmental and agroforestry purposes. Oxford University Press, New York, 1992.
- [8] Lambert BB, Harper SJ and Robinson SD., Effect of container size at time of planting on tree growth rates for Baldcypress [*Taxodium distichum* (L.) Rich], Red Maple (*Acer rubrum* L.) and Long leaf Pine (*Pinus palustris* Mill.), Journal of Arboriculture, 2010; Vol. 36 No. 2, pp. 93-99.
- [10] Husnia Ibrahim, Effect of soil mixture and fertilization for growing seedlings, In: Amare Getahun and Girma Balcha (eds.), Research on seed and nursery technology at the Forestry Research Center. Forestry Research Center, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 1995; pp. 35-40.
- [11] Greer L and Diver S. Sustainable small-scale nursery production. Appropriate technology transfer for rural areas, 2000.
- [12] Finder AT. For every tree a reason –research in rather than for agroforestry development. Scotland’s Farm Advisory Service. 1st April 2020.
- [13] Harwood CE. *Grevillea robusta* in agroforestry and forestry: Proceeding of an international workshop. international workshop on Agroforestry and forestry Application of *grevillea robusta*, Nairobi (Kenya), 28-31 Aug 1990 1992. ICRAF.
- [14] Teucher M, Schmitt CB, Wiese A, Apfelbeck B, Maghenda M, Pellikka P, Lens L, Habel JC. Behind the fog: Forest degradation despite logging bans in an East African cloud forest. *Global ecology and Conservation*. 2020 Jun 1; 22:e1024.
- [15] Rahman SA, Sunderland T, Roshetko JM, Healey JR. Facilitating smallholder tree farming in fragmented tropical landscapes: challenges and potentials for sustainable land management. *J Environ Manage*. 2017; 198.
- [16] Chrisphine OM, Mary, OA, and Mark BK, Assessment of hydrological impacts of Mau forest, Kenya. *Hydrol Current Res*, (2016); 7(223), 2.
- [17] Nurmi L. Buffer Zone plans for Lake Nakuru National park and for Njoro River in Kenya (Doctoral dissertation, Laurea University of Applied Sciences)
- [18] Ngetich KF, Mucheru-Muna M, Mugwe JN, Shisanya CA, Mugendi DN. Length of growing season, rainfall temporal distribution, onset and cessation dates in the Kenyan highlands. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*. 15 May 2014; 188: 24–32.
- [19] Kinyanjui HC, Detailed soil survey of Tatton Farm, Egerton College, Njoro. Ministry of Agriculture-National Agricultural Laboratories. Nairobi, Kenya; 1979.
- [20] Mungai LM, Snapp S, Messina JP, Chikowo R, Smith A, Anders E and Li, G, Smallholder farms and the potential for sustainable intensification. *Frontiers in plant science*, 2016; 7, 1720
- [21] Kihara J, Mugendi DN, Jaenicke H and Kung’u JB. Effect of small scale farmers’ tree nursery growing medium on agroforestry tree seedlings’ quality in Mt. Kenya region, *Sci Res Essays*. 2008; 3(8):359-64.
- [22] Dumroese RK, Davis AS, Jacobs DF. Nursery response of *Acacia koa* seedlings to container size, irrigation method, and fertilization rate. *J Plant Nutr*. 2011; 34:877–887
- [23] Inoti SK, Ocholla JA. Pot size influence of *Eucalyptus* species nursery seedlings. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research Updates*. 2022; 3(2): 20–27
- [24] Kinyua, IS. Ideal pot size for raising jojoba nursery seedlings in semi-arid areas of Kenya. *International Journal of Development and Sustainability*. 2017; 6(12): 1946-1954
- [25] Owino JO, Onyango AA, Angaine PM and Inoti SK. Effect of Soil Mixtures on Early Growth Performance of *Grevillea robusta* and *Cupressus lusitanica* Seedlings in the Highlands of Kenya, 2022.

- [26] Yadessa A and Bekere D. Determination of optimum nursery soil mixture and pot size for propagation of *Leucaena pallida*: A promising browse species at Bako. In *Livestock in Food Security – Roles and Contributions Proceedings of the 9th annual conference of the Ethiopian Society of Animal Production (ESAP) Ababa, Ethiopia, August 30-31, 2001*
- [27] Dubik SP, Krizek DT and Stimart DP.. Influence of root zone restriction on mineral element concentration, water potential, chlorophyll concentration, and partitioning of assimilate in spreading euonymus (*E. Kiautschovica* Loes.—Sieboldiana||). *J of Plant Nutr*, 1990; 13: 677–699.
- [28] Segaw HA, Tura FS, Gabelle SK, Komicho DN and Gifawesen ST. “Evaluation of the effect of different pot sizes and growing media on the seedling growth morphology of *Cajanus cajan* and *Sesbania sesban* in dryland areas, Southern parts of Ethiopia”, *Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare*, 2016; Vol. 6 No. 23.
- [29] South DB, Harris SW, Barnett JP, Hains M. J and Gjerstad, DH. Effect of container type and seedling size on survival and early height growth of *Pinus palustris* seedlings in Alabama, USA. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 2005; 204(2-3), 385-398.
- [30] Agonafer H, Tura F, Kelil S, Negeyo D, Taye S. Evaluation of the Effect of Different Pot Sizes and Growing Media on the Seedling Growth Morphology of *Cajanus Cajan* and *Sesbania Sesban* in Dryland Areas, Southern Parts of Ethiopia. 19 Sep 2020.
- [31] Salisu MA, Sulaiman Z, Samad MYA, Kolapo OK. Effect of various types and size of container on growth and root morphology of rubber (*Hevea Brasiliensis* Mull. Arg.). *IJSTR*. 2018; 7: 21–27